

## A Network Analysis of the Imprecise Probability Community based on ISIPTA Electronic Proceedings

Manuel J.A. Eugster, Gero Walter, Thomas Augustin  
Department of Statistics  
Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München (LMU)  
{manuel.eugster; gero.walter; thomas}@stat.uni-muenchen.de

### Abstract

In the last decade, research activities in imprecise probability (IP), carried out by isolated groups scattered throughout the world, have been successively embedded into a number of formal structures: the biennial ISIPTA symposium starting in 1999, the SIPTA society founded in 2002, and the SIPTA summer schools since 2004. To reflect this period of adolescence in a data analytic way, a natural approach is to apply social network analysis.

Following recent publications in the analysis of scientific collaboration networks [1, 2, 4], we intend to extract the research network in the IP community. By analyzing co-authorship for all ISIPTA papers, we draw the resulting network graph and apply basic methods to characterize a community, as the distribution of the number of collaborators, the number of papers per author, and the number of authors per paper. In addition, we investigate further interesting properties such as clustering and the ‘small-world’ hypothesis [3, 4, 6]. Using the geographical position of authors (via their e-mail addresses) allows us to represent the scientific collaboration network in a world map.

We release collected data and code as a package on CRAN, the repository of extensions for the statistical programming environment **R** [5]. The package will provide data for future analyses, e.g., on the network of the paper’s keywords, which may give an alternative representation of the network of collaborations, as suggested by [7].

Furthermore, we hope to stimulate the discussion on potential applications of IP in network analysis methods.

**Keywords.** Network analysis, imprecise probability, scientific collaboration networks, ‘small-world’ networks.

### References

- [1] Jerrold W. Grossman. Patterns of collaboration in mathematical research. *SIAM News*, 35(9), 2002.
- [2] Yutaka Matsuo, Hironori Tomobe, Kôiti Hasida, and Mitsuru Ishizuka. Mining social network of conference participants from the web. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/WIC International Conference on Web Intelligence*, pages 190–193, 2003.
- [3] Stanley Milgram. The small world problem. *Psychology Today*, 2:60–67, 1967.
- [4] Mark E. J. Newman. The structure of scientific collaboration networks. *Proceedings of The National Academy of Sciences*, 98(2):404–409, 2001.
- [5] R Development Core Team. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2011. <http://www.R-project.org>.
- [6] Duncan J. Watts and Steven H. Strogatz. Collective dynamics of ‘small world’ networks. *Nature*, 393:440–442, 1998.
- [7] Yang Yang, Ching-man Au Yeung, Mark Weal, and Hugh Davis. The researcher social network: A social network based on metadata of scientific publications. In *WebSci’09: Society On-Line*, 2009. <http://journal.webscience.org/210/>.