

Towards an Interval Particle Transport Monte Carlo Method*

Ander Gray

Institute for Risk and Uncertainty, University of Liverpool, UK

ANDER.GRAY@LIVERPOOL.AC.UK

Andrew Davis

Culham Centre for Fusion Energy, UKAEA, UK

ANDREW.DAVIS@UKAEA.UK

Edoardo Patelli

Institute for Risk and Uncertainty, University of Liverpool, UK

EPATELLI@LIVERPOOL.AC.UK

Neutronics is the study of how neutrons propagate through matter: how they interact, what with, and their manipulation; and is of central importance to the operation of a nuclear reactor, fission or fusion. Monte Carlo has for a long time been the high fidelity method of choice for Neutronics, due to its simplicity and its ability to handle complicated geometries. Recently there has been a growing interest in the quantification and propagation of uncertainty in these types of calculation. Most of these methods are for nuclear data uncertainty propagation, and are mostly either perturbation theory or sensitivity based methods [1]. These methods have been effective for fission, but are yet to be successful for fusion applications due to the severity of the uncertainty and high number of variables that must be considered.

The current state of the art in uncertainty propagation in particle transport is the so called Total Monte Carlo method [5], a method which relies on the repeated execution of the same transport simulation. This however is often computationally intractable even with modern high performance computing standards (a full reactor simulation would require the simulation of $\sim 10^{12}$ particles). In this project we explore alternatives to Total Monte Carlo for uncertainty propagation in neutron transport, which can yield a robust measure of uncertainty at a reduced computational cost.

The method proposed in this work is based on probability bound analysis [2, 4], where the neutronics model is altered to include uncertainty as a part of the physics calculation, removing the need for the above-mentioned Monte Carlo. Neutron attributes (position, energy, direction) are intervals, representing the uncertainty in the neutron's state at each point in its history. The stochastic drivers (the distributions which determine the neutron's next state) are p-boxes, which are sampled to produce random intervals. By simulating a large number of imprecise neutron histories, uncertainty can be propagated in a single model evaluation, albeit a more expensive simulation. The interplay of stochasticity (from the stochastic neutronics model) and epistemic uncertainty (from the unknown inputs to the model) produces p-boxes as outputs. By repeating the stochastic simulation multiple times with interval inputs, a distribution of intervals is created from which a p-box is fit [3].

References

- [1] Carlos Javier Diez, Oliver Buss, Axel Hoefler, Dieter Porsch, and Oscar Cabellos. Comparison of nuclear data uncertainty propagation methodologies for pwr burn-up simulations. *Annals of Nuclear Energy*, 77:101–114, 2015.
- [2] Scott Ferson and Kari Sentz. Epistemic uncertainty in agent-based modeling. In *7th international workshop on reliable engineering computing*, 2016.
- [3] Scott Ferson, Vladik Kreinovich, Janos Hajagos, William Oberkampf, and Lev Ginzburg. Experimental uncertainty estimation and statistics for data having interval uncertainty. *Sandia National Laboratories, Report SAND2007-0939*, 162, 2007.
- [4] Scott Ferson, Vladik Kreinovich, Lev Grinzburg, Davis Myers, and Kari Sentz. Constructing probability boxes and Dempster-Shafer structures. Technical report, Sandia National Lab.(SNL-NM), Albuquerque, NM (United States), 2015.
- [5] Dimitri Rochman, Arjan Koning, and Steven Van Der Marck. Exact nuclear data uncertainty propagation for fusion neutronics calculations. *Fusion Engineering and Design*, 85(5):669–682, 2010.

* This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 and 2019-2020 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.